

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

Serial:			
27 Novem	ber	1979	

STAT

STAT

Director

Central Intelligence Agency

ATTN: Mr. Gambino (Chairman, Security Committee)

Washington, DC

Dear Mr. Gambino:

As I noted in my correspondence of 9 November 1979, Serial:

I believe that the treatment of computer security for all
uses of computers should be treated by the same standards of
protection. To me, this means that computers used to communicate
data are just as much a part of computer security as those used
to store and process data. This does not mean that identical rules
must be applied in every case, but it should mean that adequate
precautions are applied so that no system is allowed to operate
which falls below a minimum level of security protection.

Since the DCID 1/11 is not going to be modified to include computers which are used to communicate intelligence information, some provision needs to be made to make sure that these systems are covered under some set of rules. The presumption is that this naturally falls to the newly constituted National COMSEC Committee chaired by Dr. Dinneen of Defense. I suggest that you consider discussing the matter of computer security with Dr. Dinneen and agree on some general rules by which the two separate groups can achieve a working relationship which will guarantee adequate computer security protection for all systems, regardless of use.

	Sincere	1v.	
Chairman,	Computer	Security	Subcommittee

STAT

03-9-0948/2



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, SECOM

1 0 SEP 1979

SUBJECT: Revision of DCID 1/11

STAT

- 1. Reference Memorandum of 9 August 1979, SECOM-D-604.
- 2. Concur in attachments 1, 3 and 5 to the proposed DCID as written.
- 3. Concur in attachment 2 but recommend that its titling and text be updated by conversion of the term "computer security" to "automation security" throughout the text.

4. We do object, to the language of draft Attachment 4, which involves the R&D Subcommittee in computer security R&D matters. We feel that this is an unwarranted overlap with the assigned responsibility of the Computer (Automation) Security Subcommittee. The Computer (Automation) Security Subcommittee should continue "to monitor and foster" automation security R&D and maintain close liaison with the OSD Computer Security Technical Consortium on this matter. In view of the highly specialized nature of automation security, and fact that we have a special subcommittee for this one discipline, recommend that all references to computer security be deleted from the draft of Attachment 4. STAT Army Member, SECOM STAT